Acupressure has not an analgesic effect in patients with renal colic: a randomized controlled trial


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Easing renal colic (RC) pain is of the most important issues in the emergency departments. This study was conducted to investigate the analgesic effects of intravenous paracetamol in comparison to acupressure and intramuscular diclofenac in patients with RC.

METHODS
This randomized clinical trial was conducted on 96 eligible patients with RC, visiting the Emergency Department of Imam Reza Hospital of Mashhad, Iran. The participants were selected by consecutive non-random sampling method, and were randomized in three groups, each comprising of 32 participants. The first and second groups received 75 mg intramuscular diclofenac and 1g intravenous paracetamol, respectively. In the third group, three acupressure points were manually stimulated for six minutes. The pain score was measured by Visual Analog Scale for pain at baseline and after 10, 30, and 60 minutes. Collected information analyses by SPSS version 20 and interpreted using descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage) and inferential statistics (chi-square test).

RESULTS
The mean age was 31.60 ± 7.42 years (range:18-50). The two methods (diclofenac and paracetamol groups) were significantly better in pain reduction compared to acupressure after 10 minutes (p<0.001). Ninety four percent of participants in diclofenac group, 87% of paracetamol group and none of acupressure group were completely satisfied with their treatment method (p<0.001).

CONCLUSION
This study demonstrated that acupressure did not effectively reduces renal colic pain. It seems that contrary to acupressure, intravenous paracetamol is a safe and effective analgesic medicine for patients with RC.
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INTRODUCTION

Pain is a physiological response to a harmful stimulation. The expression of pain depends on many factors including emotional, physical, and cultural states of an individual. As pain presents 75-80% of emergency primary compliant, proper pain management is an important task of physicians in the emergency department (ED). Patients with renal colic (RC) comes to ED with severe pain in flank or abdomen which needs immediate treatment. The urinary system consists of the kidneys, ureters, bladder, and the urethra (urinary meatus). Renal colic pain is the most common urinary system disorder. Renal colic is associated with severe pain, which begins from flanks and spreads to the groin. It is a recurring pain with the chance of exacerbation. The standard RC treatment includes relieving pain and correcting water and electrolyte imbalance. The only definite treatment is the elimination of the pain source, i.e., the cause of obstruction. However, the majority of initial treatments at admission are bound to temporary pain relief. Morphine and some other opiates compounds are the most effective analgesics; however, some concerns regarding their complications, such as physical dependence, substance abuse, inhibition of respiratory center, and sensitivity reactions, have signified the need for alternative methods. As a result, there is currently a greater tendency towards the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). On the other hand, NSAIDs have complications as gastrointestinal bleeding and renal failure. According to aforementioned complications, it is necessary to use less complicated and more effective medications for RC.

The efficacy of intravenous paracetamol for pain control in therapeutic dose (1 gr four times a day in adult patients) can be compared with morphine or ketorolac, and because of fewer side effects it can be a treatment option in renal colic for patients presenting to ED. Regarding the abundant problems and complications of pharmacotherapy, the use of non-medical methods that results in pain reduction in RC patients seems logical. In recent years, the application of non-medical methods, known as alternative medicine, has been given special attention. Acupressure is a non-medicinal, non-aggressive, and relatively inexpensive method, which has almost no side effect. It is an effective technique for pain reduction without any complication. The acupressure helps to rejuvenate the body merely through applying a pressure on a certain body points by hands. It is a derivative of the Chinese medicine. In other words, acupressure is a type of massage that stimulates certain body points to increase circulation and reduce muscle tension. Acupressure is a means of stimulation of the same acupoints as used in acupuncture but without the use of needles or other medical instruments. Some studies have reported positive effects of pain reduction for some medical conditions like dysmenorrhea, neck pain, and fractures. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has focused on effectiveness of acupressure on pain reduction in RC.

In addition to the financial burden, the use of medicines, such as opiates and NSAIDs, is associated with many side effects including hypoventilation, nausea, purpura, and bleeding. According to many complications from nonsteroidal and morphine medications, it is necessary to use less complicated and more effective RC medications. So, we intended to compare the analgesic effects of intravenous paracetamol, acupressure, and intramuscular diclofenac in patients with RC.

METHODS

Research design

This randomized clinical trial was performed at the emergency departments of Imam Reza Hospital in Mashhad, Iran. This study performed from January to June 2017.
Research subjects
The participants were selected from 18-50 years old patients visiting the Emergency Department (ED) of Imam Reza Hospital of Mashhad, Iran. Inclusion criteria were patient coming to ED with RC, accepting the study and completing the informed consent form. Exclusion criteria were the history of drug dependence, patients with allergy to medications of the present study, pregnant women, and patients who had used other analgesics before admission. Also, patients who developed any unwanted medical complication during the study were excluded.

The sample size was calculated using the formula for comparison of mean between two independent samples considering these parameters: alpha error=0.05, beta error=0.2, $x_1=9.1$, SD$_1=2.1$, $x_2=8.5$, SD$_2=2.1$, $x_3=5.1$, SD$_3=1.2$. The optimal sample was 32 for each group.\(^{(19)}\)

Intervention

The patients were randomized into three equal-sized groups using a random number sequence and were matched regarding gender. The randomization scheme was generated by using the Web site Randomization.com (http://www.randomization.com).

The first and second group received 75 mg intramuscular diclofenac and 1g intravenous paracetamol, respectively. In the third group, three acupoints (first web of fingers, the second web of toes, and a point on the medial side of distal tibia, above the medial malleolus) were selected according to the Chinese medicine. These points were stimulated under a certain amount of pressure (4-5kg) every 2-3 seconds for 5 seconds. This process was performed for an overall time of six minutes. The researcher who performed the acupressure (AKV) had a certified training in clinical acupressure by China Beijing International Acupuncture Training Center\(^{(1)}\) CBIATC , and have experiences in acupressure for more than 10 years. It is worth noting that an alternative method (morphine therapy) was used if the patients did not respond desirably to pain 15 minutes after the intervention.

The pain level of all groups was measured after 10, 30, and 60 minutes between the three groups. Pain score was categorized into three levels: severe pain (score: 7-10), moderate pain (score: 4-6) and mild pain (score: 0-3). Besides, the level of patient satisfaction (not satisfied, moderately satisfied, and completely satisfied) and possible side effects in each method were also recorded.

Pain measurements

The demographic information including gender, age, and weight were obtained. The research colleague, who was blinded to the patients’ groups, measured the pain level of all patients, using Visual Analog Scale at baseline and after the intervention.

Statistical analysis

Then, the collected information was analyses by SPSS version 20 and interpreted using descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage) and inferential statistics (chi-square test). The significance level was considered below 0.05.

Ethical clearance

First, the advantages and disadvantages of all three study methods were explained to the participants, and their informed written consent was collected. This study was approved by Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (940520) and Ethical Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (IR.MUMS.FM.REC.1395.79). This study was also registered at Iranian Registry for Clinical Trials (IRCT2016072129023N1).

RESULTS

In this study 134 patients assessed for eligibility. Thirty-eight patients excluded from the study (Not meeting inclusion criteria =26 patients, declined to participate =12 patients). Finally, we randomized 96 patients with RC
visiting the ED to three groups of intervention (Figure 1). The mean age was 31.60 ± 7.42 years (range: 18-50). Half of admitted patients were between 27-34 years old (50%). Most patients were male (84.4%, 81 individuals). Concerning BMI, 55.2% of patients had normal weight. Table 1 shows that there was no statistical difference between groups regarding basic characteristics and baseline pain. This means that the randomization was successfully distributed the other variable between the three groups.

As the acupressure group have severe pain after 10 min morphine therapy substituted and for this reason, we did not compare it to other groups that have pain relief in 30 and 60 minutes. As Table 2 shows, 96.9% of participants in acupressure group still had severe pain after 10 minutes, this was 6.2% for diclofenac group and 9.4% for paracetamol group (p<0.001). It is should be reminded that due to the lack of pain reduction in acupressure group after 15 minutes, the morphine therapy was substituted for the acupressure. No side effects were observed for any participants.

Finally, while 93% (30) of participants in diclofenac group and 87.5% (28) of participants in paracetamol group were completely satisfied, 96.9% (31) of participants in acupressure group were not satisfied with their treatment method for pain reduction (p<0.001) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed no significant difference in pain reduction between the paracetamol and diclofenac groups after 10, 30, and 60 minutes, and the pain was relieved with passing time in both groups. In recent years, many studies have been conducted on the application of intravenous paracetamol. For example, Mofidi et al. (20)
compared the effectiveness of intravenous paracetamol and tramadol for RC patients. Their findings suggested the greater effectiveness of the former medication in relieving pain in renal patients. Serinken et al.\(^{(6)}\) and Bektas et al.\(^{(7)}\) compared the effects of paracetamol and morphine in RC patients and did not find any significant difference. In Iran, Baghi et al.\(^{(21)}\) compared the effectiveness of low-dose and high-dose intravenous paracetamol-morphine combinations in treating RC patients. Results did not show any significant difference in pain reduction and side effects. Van Aken et al.\(^{(22)}\) in a study compared the effectiveness of a single-dose intravenous paracetamol-intramuscular morphine and its repetition in improving analgesia after dental surgery. They did not find any specific statistical and clinical difference between the paracetamol and morphine groups regarding pain reduction; however, there were fewer side effects in the paracetamol group.

Comparison of our findings with results from other studies showed that paracetamol is a safer and more effective medication in pain reduction for patients with renal pain. This medication can produce desirable analgesic effects without significant complications. Regarding the lack of access to intravenous NSAIDs in some medical centers and many complications associated with opiates (nausea, vomiting, seizure, etc.), the intravenous paracetamol can used as a suitable substitute for pain reduction in RC patients.

### Table 1. Base-line characteristics of the three intervention groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Diclofenac (n=32)</th>
<th>Paracetamol (n=32)</th>
<th>Acupressure (n=32)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27 (84.4)</td>
<td>28 (87.5)</td>
<td>26 (81.2)</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
<td>6 (18.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-26</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
<td>6 (18.8)</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-34</td>
<td>18 (56.2)</td>
<td>14 (43.8)</td>
<td>16 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-42</td>
<td>8 (25.0)</td>
<td>11 (34.3)</td>
<td>11 (34.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43-50</td>
<td>2 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
<td>2 (6.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>17 (53.1)</td>
<td>14 (43.8)</td>
<td>22 (68.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>11 (34.4)</td>
<td>11 (34.4)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (6.2)</td>
<td>2 (6.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>28 (87.5)</td>
<td>27 (84.4)</td>
<td>26 (81.2)</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
<td>6 (18.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n (%); BMI: body mass index

### Table 2. Comparison of analgesic effects and satisfaction score between the intervention groups after 10 minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome criteria</th>
<th>Diclofenac (n=32)</th>
<th>Paracetamol (n=32)</th>
<th>Acupressure (n=32)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain after 10 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>2 (6.2)</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
<td>31 (96.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>16 (50.0)</td>
<td>22 (68.8)</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>14 (43.8)</td>
<td>7 (21.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely</td>
<td>30 (93.8)</td>
<td>28 (87.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
<td>2 (6.2)</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>31 (96.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n (%)
Results from the current study showed a significant difference in the pain score between the diclofenac and acupressure groups, and also between the paracetamol and acupressure group 10-minute after the interventions. Previous studies which were conducted on the effectiveness of acupressure have reported controversial findings. For example, there are studies about the effectiveness of acupressure on dysmenorrhea,\textsuperscript{(15)} neck pain,\textsuperscript{(16)} pain after distal radial fractures,\textsuperscript{(17)} and pain caused by bone marrow aspiration in cancer patients.\textsuperscript{(23)} Results of these studies do not comply with our findings. This contradiction can be attributed to the different effect of acupressure on different types and levels of pain: regarding the high-intensity level of RC pain, acupressure may not have a considerable effect on RC pain.

This study was not without limitations. First, we used a subjective tool for measuring pain. Second, personal characteristics and even belief to modern or alternative medicine can influence the perception of pain. Third, the natural difference between the acupressure therapy and the two pharmacological therapies did not allow us to perform a participant blinding. However, designing an RCT for comparison three treatment methods in one of the most painful conditions in medicine is one of the strengths of this study. Future studies with patients in other painful conditions can improve the results.

CONCLUSION

Acupressure was not found to be an effective analgesic in RC. Regarding the side effects of opioid analgesics and NSAIDs, it seems that intravenous paracetamol can be considered as an effective and safe pain medication in emergencies.
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